



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,965	04/11/2001	Brent D. Larson	H25210	9717
75	90 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
Honeywell International Inc.			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
Law Dept. AB2			ART UNIT	DARED MUMER
P O Box 2245			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
Morristown, NJ 07962-9806			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/832,965	LARSON, BRENT	D.				
Navicoly Notion	Examiner	Art Unit	·				
	Dung Nguyen	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three motearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 36(a) and the appropriate extended the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the p	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ——	eparate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 15-24</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appl	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>					
10. Other:		Inlu	2				
		Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2871					



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims do not define over the art of record. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they're not persuasive.